

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/515,982	11/24/2004	Ago Samoson	458/1	6297
24101	7590 06/13/2006		EXAM	INER
BRUCE E. LILLING			VARGAS, DIXOMARA	
LILLING & 1	LILLING P.C.			·
P.O. BOX 56			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GOLDEN BR	GOLDEN BRIDGE, NY 10526			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\M·M		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/515,982	SAMOSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dixomara Vargas	2859			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address	S		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal ma	• •	rits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers			:		
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/04.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lippmaa et al. (US 4,254,373).

With respect to claim 1, Lippmaa discloses a probehead for measuring nuclear magnetic resonance (Figures 1 and 2) that is comprised of a frame (#2), a radio frequency coil attached thereto (#1) and a rotor located inside the coil (#4) containing the examined sample (#6), supported by bearings (Column 4, lines 13-32) and provided with turbines at both ends (Column 4, lines 28-35), a source of compressed gas (Column 4, lines 13-15), an executive unit and a control unit, characterized in that different turbines make the rotor rotate in the same or in opposite directions and the executive unit is provided at least two separate compressed gas channels for rotor velocity control for each turbine (Column 4, lines 13-32).

Application/Control Number: 10/515,982

Art Unit: 2859

4. With respect to claim 2, Lippmaa discloses at both ends of the rotor there are two turbines respectively to provide rotation in opposite directions and the executive unit has been provided with four compressed gas channels for rotor velocity control (Column 4, lines 13-32, Figure 1, #13).

Page 3

- 5. With respect to claim 3, Lippmaa discloses the turbines are cylindrical and the diameter of their work area is less than the diameter of the rotor (Column 3, lines 16-35; Figures 1-3).
- 6. With respect to claim 4, Lippmaa discloses the coil is connected to the inner surface of the frame with at least two, preferably four sheets of thin non-conductive and non-magnetic material (Column 3, lines 45-62; Figures 1-3).
- 7. With respect to claim 5, Lippmaa discloses the sheets are made of ceramic material (Column 3, lines 12-17).
- 8. With respect to claim 6, Lippmaa discloses the coil ends of the sheets comprise grooves for housing coil sections (Figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Application/Control Number: 10/515,982

Art Unit: 2859

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

11. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lippmaa et al. (US 4,254,373).

With respect to claim 7, Lippmaa discloses the claimed invention except for the ratio of the length and thickness of the sheets is 200:1 to 50:1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the ratio of the length and thickness of the sheets is 200:1 to 50:1, for the purpose of having a system with dimensions adequate to fit in the probehead and perform its function as desired since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 10 5 USPQ 233.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dixomara Vargas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 am. to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2859

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dixomara Vargas

Art Unit 2859 June 5, 2006 Diego Gutierrez

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800